

On the density of rectangular unimodular matrices over the ring of algebraic integers

Violetta Weger

joint work with Giacomo Micheli

University of Zurich



**University of
Zurich^{UZH}**

**Coding Theory and Cryptography Seminar
June 6, 2018**

- 1 Preliminaries
 - Ring of Algebraic Integers
 - Density
 - Mertens-Cesáro Theorem
 - Rectangular Unimodular Matrices
- 2 Main Result: Density of Rectangular Unimodular Matrices over the Ring of Algebraic Integers
 - Main Tool: Local to Global Principle
 - Example of the Local to Global Principle: Proof of Mertens-Cesáro Theorem
 - Proof of Main Result

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .
- $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, hence \mathcal{O}_K has a \mathbb{Z} -basis.

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .
- $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, hence \mathcal{O}_K has a \mathbb{Z} -basis.
- \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain. We have unique factorization into prime ideals.

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .
- $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, hence \mathcal{O}_K has a \mathbb{Z} -basis.
- \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain. We have unique factorization into prime ideals.
- Every non-zero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K intersects \mathbb{Z} in a prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p . In this case we say that \mathfrak{p} is lying above p and denote this by $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .
- $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, hence \mathcal{O}_K has a \mathbb{Z} -basis.
- \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain. We have unique factorization into prime ideals.
- Every non-zero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K intersects \mathbb{Z} in a prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p . In this case we say that \mathfrak{p} is lying above p and denote this by $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.
- The residue field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})}}$, where $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = [\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{F}_p]$ denotes the inertia degree, for $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.

Ring of Algebraic Integers

Let K be a number field with degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = k$.

- \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of algebraic integers of K .
- $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, hence \mathcal{O}_K has a \mathbb{Z} -basis.
- \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain. We have unique factorization into prime ideals.
- Every non-zero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K intersects \mathbb{Z} in a prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p . In this case we say that \mathfrak{p} is lying above p and denote this by $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.
- The residue field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})}}$, where $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = [\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{F}_p]$ denotes the inertia degree, for $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.
- If $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.

Definition

The density of a set $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is defined to be

$$\rho(S) = \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S \cap [-B, B[^d|}{(2B)^d}$$

if the limit exists. Then one defines the upper density $\bar{\rho}$ and the lower density $\underline{\rho}$ equivalently with the \limsup and the \liminf respectively.

Theorem (Mertens, 1874 and Cesàro, 1883)

The density of the set of coprime pairs of \mathbb{Z} is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(2)},$$

where ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

Mertens-Cesàro Theorem

This can be generalized to

Theorem (Nymann, 1972)

The density of the set of coprime m -tuples of \mathbb{Z} is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(m)},$$

where ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

Mertens-Cesàro Theorem

This can be generalized to

Theorem (Nymann, 1972)

The density of the set of coprime m -tuples of \mathbb{Z} is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(m)},$$

where ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

And this can be further generalized to

Theorem (Ferraguti, Micheli, 2016)

The density of the set of coprime m -tuples of \mathcal{O}_K is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\zeta_K(m)},$$

where ζ_K denotes the Dedekind zeta function over K .

Definition

Let \mathcal{R} be a domain and $n < m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{R})$. M is said to be rectangular unimodular, if there exist $m - n$ rows in \mathcal{R}^m , such that when adjoining these rows to M the resulting $m \times m$ matrix \widetilde{M} is invertible, i.e. $\det(\widetilde{M})$ is a unit in \mathcal{R} .

Rectangular Unimodular Matrices

Over Dedekind domains, there are the following characterization of rectangular unimodular matrices:

Proposition (Gustafson, Moore, Reiner, 1981)

Let \mathcal{D} be a Dedekind domain and $n < m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{D})$. M is rectangular unimodular, if and only if the ideal generated by all the $n \times n$ minors of M is \mathcal{D} .

Rectangular Unimodular Matrices

Over Dedekind domains, there are the following characterization of rectangular unimodular matrices:

Proposition (Gustafson, Moore, Reiner, 1981)

Let \mathcal{D} be a Dedekind domain and $n < m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{D})$. M is rectangular unimodular, if and only if the ideal generated by all the $n \times n$ minors of M is \mathcal{D} .

Proposition (Gustafson, Moore, Reiner, 1981)

Let \mathcal{D} be a Dedekind domain and $n < m \in \mathbb{N}$. $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{D})$ is rectangular unimodular, if and only if $M \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ has full rank for any \mathfrak{p} non-zero prime ideal of \mathcal{D} .

Theorem (Micheli, W., 2018)

Let n and m be positive integers such that $n < m$ and K be an algebraic number field. The density of the set of $n \times m$ rectangular unimodular matrices over \mathcal{O}_K is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\zeta_K(m-i)},$$

where ζ_K denotes the Dedekind zeta function of K .

If S is a set, then we denote by 2^S its powerset.

Let $M_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\infty\} \cup \{p \mid p \text{ prime}\}$ be the set of all places of \mathbb{Q} .

We denote by \mathbb{Z}_p the p -adic integers.

Let μ_{∞} denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d and μ_p the normalized Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^d .

For T a subset of a metric space, let us denote by ∂T its boundary.

Theorem (Poonen, Stoll, 1999)

Let $U_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot U_\infty = U_\infty$ and $\mu_\infty(\partial(U_\infty)) = 0$.

Let $s_\infty = \frac{1}{2^d} \mu_\infty(U_\infty \cap [-1, 1]^d)$.

For each prime p , let $U_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, such that $\mu_p(\partial(U_p)) = 0$ and define $s_p = \mu_p(U_p)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} P : \mathbb{Z}^d &\rightarrow 2^{M_{\mathbb{Q}}} \\ a &\mapsto \{\nu \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid a \in U_\nu\}. \end{aligned}$$

If the following is satisfied:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho} \left(\left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid a \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M \right\} \right) = 0, \quad (1)$$

Theorem (continued)

then:

- i) $\sum_{\nu \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}} s_{\nu}$ converges.
- ii) For $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^{M_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, $\rho(P^{-1}(\mathcal{S}))$ exists, and defines a measure on $2^{M_{\mathbb{Q}}}$.
- iii) For each finite set $S \in 2^{M_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, we have that

$$\rho(P^{-1}(\{S\})) = \prod_{\nu \in S} s_{\nu} \prod_{\nu \notin S} (1 - s_{\nu}),$$

and if \mathcal{S} consists of infinite subsets of $2^{M_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, then $\rho(P^{-1}(\mathcal{S})) = 0$.

Lemma (Poonen, Stoll, 1999)

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be relatively prime. Define

$$S_M(f, g) = \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid p \mid f(a), p \mid g(a) \text{ for some prime } p > M \right\},$$

then

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}(S_M(f, g)) = 0.$$

Proof of Mertens-Cesáro

Choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p , such that

$$P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1\}.$$

Proof of Mertens-Cesàro

Choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p , such that

$$P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1\}.$$

Choose $U_p = (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. Hence $s_p = \mu_p(U_p) = \frac{1}{p^2}$. We want to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}(\{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid (a_1, a_2) \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M\}) = 0.$$

Proof of Mertens-Cesàro

Choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p , such that

$$P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1\}.$$

Choose $U_p = (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. Hence $s_p = \mu_p(U_p) = \frac{1}{p^2}$. We want to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}(\{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid (a_1, a_2) \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M\}) = 0.$$

We want to choose $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p \mid f(a_1, a_2), p \mid g(a_1, a_2) \text{ for some prime } p > M\} \\ &= \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid (a_1, a_2) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \text{ for some prime } p > M\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Mertens-Cesàro

Choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p , such that

$$P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1\}.$$

Choose $U_p = (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$. Hence $s_p = \mu_p(U_p) = \frac{1}{p^2}$. We want to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}(\{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid (a_1, a_2) \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M\}) = 0.$$

We want to choose $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p \mid f(a_1, a_2), p \mid g(a_1, a_2) \text{ for some prime } p > M\} \\ &= \{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid (a_1, a_2) \in (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \text{ for some prime } p > M\}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ and $g(x_1, x_2) = x_2$, which are coprime.

We choose $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset\}$, and get with the local to global principle

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\{(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1\}) &= \rho(P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})) \\ &= \prod_{\nu \in \emptyset} s_\nu \prod_{\nu \notin \emptyset} (1 - s_\nu) \\ &= (1 - s_\infty) \prod_{p \text{ primes}} (1 - s_p) \\ &= \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

Let

$$\pi_p : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p,$$

be the reduction modulo a rational prime p and

$$\mathbb{E}_p : \mathbb{F}_p^k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/(p).$$

and the natural projection

$$\psi_p : \mathcal{O}_K/(p) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof of Main Result

Let

$$\pi_p : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p,$$

be the reduction modulo a rational prime p and

$$\mathbb{E}_p : \mathbb{F}_p^k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/(p).$$

and the natural projection

$$\psi_p : \mathcal{O}_K/(p) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}).$$

Then the composition of their extension is $F_p = \overline{\psi}_p \circ \overline{\mathbb{E}}_p \circ \overline{\pi}_p$:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{knm} \xrightarrow{\overline{\pi}_p} \mathbb{F}_p^{knm} \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathbb{E}}_p} (\mathcal{O}_K/(p))^{n \times m} \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}_p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{n \times m} = T_p.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{L}_p = \left\{ \left(a_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \dots, a_{\mathfrak{p}_{\ell_p}} \right) \in T_p \mid a_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n \times m} \text{ has full rank} \right\}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{L}_p = \left\{ \left(a_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \dots, a_{\mathfrak{p}_\ell} \right) \in T_p \mid a_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n \times m} \text{ has full rank} \right\}.$$

Consider now the following set

$$A_p = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{knm} \mid F_p(A) \in \mathcal{L}_p \right\}.$$

$$\mu_p(A_p) = \mu_p \left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_p(A_p) &= \mu_p\left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f)\right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(F_p^{-1}(f))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_p(A_p) &= \mu_p\left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f)\right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(F_p^{-1}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\overline{\pi}_p^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1} \overline{\psi}_p^{-1}(f))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_p(A_p) &= \mu_p\left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f)\right) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(F_p^{-1}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\overline{\pi}_p^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1} \overline{\psi}_p^{-1}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\overline{\pi}_p^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1}(\overline{f} + \text{Ker}(\overline{\psi}_p))))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_p(A_p) &= \mu_p \left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(F_p^{-1}(f)) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\bar{\pi}_p^{-1} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1} \bar{\psi}_p^{-1}(f)) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\bar{\pi}_p^{-1}(\bar{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1}(\bar{f} + \text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)))) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)|} \left(f_i + p\mathbb{Z}_p^{knm} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_p(A_p) &= \mu_p \left(\bigsqcup_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} F_p^{-1}(f) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(F_p^{-1}(f)) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\bar{\pi}_p^{-1} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1} \bar{\psi}_p^{-1}(f)) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p(\bar{\pi}_p^{-1}(\bar{\mathbb{E}}_p^{-1}(\bar{f} + \text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)))) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}_p} \mu_p \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{|\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)|} \left(f_i + p\mathbb{Z}_p^{knm} \right) \right) \\
 &= |\mathcal{L}_p| \cdot |\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)| p^{-knm}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\psi_p : \mathcal{O}_K/(p) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$$

Proof of Main Result

For

$$\psi_p : \mathcal{O}_K/(p) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Ker}(\psi_p)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathcal{O}_K/(p)) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

For

$$\psi_p : \mathcal{O}_K/(p) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\text{Ker}(\psi_p)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathcal{O}_K/(p)) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \right) \\ &= k - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

For

$$\bar{\psi}_p : (\mathcal{O}_K/(p))^{n \times m} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{n \times m}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((\mathcal{O}_K/(p))^{n \times m}) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{n \times m} \right) \\ &= knm - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p})nm. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

For

$$\bar{\psi}_p : (\mathcal{O}_K/(p))^{n \times m} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{n \times m}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((\mathcal{O}_K/(p))^{n \times m}) \\ &\quad - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{n \times m} \right) \\ &= knm - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p})nm. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\text{Ker}(\bar{\psi}_p)| = p^{knm - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p})nm}.$$

Since for a prime power q the number of full rank matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^m - q^i),$$

we have that

$$|\mathcal{L}_p| = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})m} - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})i} \right).$$

Proof of Main Result

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= p^{-knm} |\text{Ker}(\overline{\psi}_{\mathfrak{p}})| \cdot |\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}| \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{knm}} \left(p^{knm - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p})nm} \right) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})m} - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})i} \right) \\ &= p^{-\sum_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \deg(\mathfrak{p})nm} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})m} - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})i} \right) \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} p^{-\deg(\mathfrak{p})m} \left(p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})m} - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})i} \right) \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(i-m)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

Let U be the set of rectangular unimodular $n \times m$ matrices over \mathcal{O}_K , hence we can write

$$U = \{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid M \bmod \mathfrak{p} \text{ has full rank} \\ \text{for any prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K\}.$$

We choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p such that $P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = U$.

Proof of Main Result

Let U be the set of rectangular unimodular $n \times m$ matrices over \mathcal{O}_K , hence we can write

$$U = \{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid M \bmod \mathfrak{p} \text{ has full rank} \\ \text{for any prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K\}.$$

We choose $U_\infty = \emptyset$, then clearly $s_\infty = 0$.

We want to choose U_p such that $P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}) = U$.

We choose $U_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^{knm} \setminus A_p$. Hence

$$s_p = \mu_p(U_p) = 1 - \mu_p(A_p) = 1 - \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(i-m)}\right).$$

Proof of Main Result

To show:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho} \left(\left\{ A \in \mathbb{Z}^{knm} \mid A \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M \right\} \right) = 0.$$

Proof of Main Result

To show:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho} \left(\left\{ A \in \mathbb{Z}^{knm} \mid A \in U_p \text{ for some prime } p > M \right\} \right) = 0.$$

Let $\bar{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{Z}^{knm} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^{n \times m}$.

For $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{knm}$, let us denote the $n \times n$ minors of $\bar{\mathbb{E}}(A)$ by A_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, \binom{m}{n}\}$.

Hence $A \in U_p$ is equivalent to $\langle A_1, \dots, A_{\binom{m}{n}} \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.

Thus for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \binom{m}{n}\}$ we have that $\langle A_i \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and hence that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(A_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence it is a subset of

$$B_M = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{Z}^{knm} \mid p \mid N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(A_1), p \mid N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(A_2) \right. \\ \left. \text{for some prime } p > M \right\}.$$

Remark

Let \mathcal{R} be an integral domain and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that, if X is an $n \times n$ polynomial matrix over $\mathcal{R}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$ having as (i, j) entry the variable $x_{i,j}$, then $\det(X) \in \mathcal{R}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$ is irreducible.

Proof of Main Result

Remark

Let \mathcal{R} be an integral domain and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that, if X is an $n \times n$ polynomial matrix over $\mathcal{R}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$ having as (i, j) entry the variable $x_{i,j}$, then $\det(X) \in \mathcal{R}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}]$ is irreducible.

Let $\ell, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_\ell]$ and $e = (e_1, \dots, e_k) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^k$. In the new polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_\ell^{(k)}]$ let us denote by f_e

$$f \left(\sum_{u=1}^k x_1^{(u)} e_u, \dots, \sum_{u=1}^k x_\ell^{(u)} e_u \right).$$

Lemma

Let ℓ, k, f and e be as above. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_\ell]$ is irreducible, then f_e is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_\ell^{(k)}]$.

Lemma

Let N be the norm map for the extension field $K(x_1, \dots, x_M)/\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_M)$. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_M]$ be irreducible and such that there are variables appearing in F but not in G , then $N(F)$ and $N(G)$ are coprime.

Hence we can apply the local to global principle and get

$$\rho(U) = \rho(P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})) = \prod_{\nu \in \emptyset} s_\nu \prod_{\nu \notin \emptyset} (1 - s_\nu)$$

Hence we can apply the local to global principle and get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(U) = \rho(P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})) &= \prod_{\nu \in \emptyset} s_\nu \prod_{\nu \notin \emptyset} (1 - s_\nu) \\ &= (1 - s_\infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - s_p)\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can apply the local to global principle and get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(U) = \rho(P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})) &= \prod_{\nu \in \emptyset} s_\nu \prod_{\nu \notin \emptyset} (1 - s_\nu) \\ &= (1 - s_\infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - s_p) \\ &= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(i-m)}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(m-i)}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Main Result

Hence we can apply the local to global principle and get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(U) = \rho(P^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})) &= \prod_{\nu \in \emptyset} s_\nu \prod_{\nu \notin \emptyset} (1 - s_\nu) \\ &= (1 - s_\infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - s_p) \\ &= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(i-m)}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\deg(\mathfrak{p})(m-i)}}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\zeta_K(m-i)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thank you