Existence and Cardinality of k-Normal Elements in Finite Fields

Simran Tinani Joachim Rosenthal

University of Zürich

International Workshop on the Arithmetic of Finite Fields, WAIFI 2020, Rennes, France. July 6-8, 2020.

Overview

- Introduction
- 2 Number of k-Normal Elements
- 3 Existence of k-Normal Elements
- 4 Normal Elements with Large Multiplicative Order
- 5 Further Research Problems



Introduction

Definition (k-normal element)

An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is called k-normal if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left\{ \alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-1}} \right\} \right) = m - k.$$

Definition (Polynomial Euler-Phi)

Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, $\deg f = m > 0$. Then $\Phi_q(f)$ is defined as the order of the group $\left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle f \rangle}\right)^{\times}$. In other words, $\Phi_q(f)$ gives the number of polynomials with degree < m that are co-prime to f.

Introduction

- ▶ For arbitrary m, and k, 0 < k < m 1, no general rule for the existence of k-normal elements or for their number n_k , when they exist, is known. Many special cases have been dealt with.
- ▶ Relation to multiplicative structure of the field: given $d \mid q^m 1$, how many k-normal elements with order d are in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} ? One is interested in establishing analogous results to the Primitive Normal Basis theorem [Lenstra and Schoof, 1987].
- ➤ Existence of 1-normal primitive elements was posed with a partial solution in [Huczynska et al., 2013] and was fully answered in [Reis and Thomson, 2018].

Background Definitions and Results

Consider the structure of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} as an $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ -module under the action

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i\right) \cdot \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \alpha^{q^i}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ let $\mathrm{Ann}(\alpha)$ denote the annihilator ideal with respect to this action. Note that we always have $(x^m-1)\cdot \alpha = x^{q^m}-x=0$, so $x^m-1\in \mathrm{Ann}(\alpha)$

Definition (Ord function)

Define the function $\operatorname{Ord}: \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ as follows. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, $\operatorname{Ord}(\alpha)$ is the unique monic polynomial such that

$$\operatorname{Ann}(\alpha) = \langle \operatorname{Ord}(\alpha) \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_q[x].$$

Number of k-Normal Elements

Theorem 1 ([Huczynska et al., 2013, Theorem 3.5])

The number of k-normal elements of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} over \mathbb{F}_q equals 0 if there is no $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree m-k dividing x^m-1 ; otherwise it is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{h|x^m-1\\\deg(h)=m-k}}\Phi_q(h),$$

where divisors are monic and polynomial division is over \mathbb{F}_q .

- ▶ x^m-1 factorizes over \mathbb{F}_q into the product of cyclotomic polynomials $Q_d(x)$ with degrees dividing m. For $p\nmid d$ each irreducible factor of $Q_d(x)$ has degree $\frac{\phi(d)}{r}$, where r is the multiplicative order of d mod q [Lidl and Niederreiter, 1997].
- No known closed formula for r, so there is no closed-form complete factorization of $x^m 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

Main Theorem on Cardinality

Theorem 2

Let n_k denote the number of k-normal elements in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . If $n_k > 0$, then

$$n_k \geq \frac{\Phi_q(x^m-1)}{q^k}.$$

Idea of Idea of Proof (Sketch).

One has a group action of $\left(\frac{\mathbb{K}[x]}{(x^m-1)}\right)^{\times}$ on the set S_k of all k-normal elements. An upper bound on the stabilizer size, and thus a lower bound on the orbit size of a k-normal element can be found using using the known properties of k-normal elements.



- ▶ The proof follows the approach in [Hyde, 2018], which handles the case k = 0 and obtains the exact number of normal elements using the freeness and transitivity of the group action.
- ▶ For k > 0 it is clear that for every k-normal α , there exists $u \in \mathbb{K}[G]$ such that $u \cdot \alpha = \alpha$. However, it is unclear whether such a u always lies in $\mathbb{K}[G]^{\times}$ and if the action is transitive.
- ▶ If a k-normal element α exists, then the lower bound is, in fact, for the number of k-normal elements lying in a single orbit, and therefore in span_{\mathbb{F}_q} { $\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-1}}$ }.

- ▶ There exist values of q, m and k such that no k-normal element over \mathbb{F}_q exists in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . E.g. q=2, m=10, k=3, 7.
- ▶ Some results on the number of k-normal elements automatically imply their existence, E.g. [Saygr et al., 2019] for m a power of the characteristic.
- ▶ Some other results on the numbers are in implicit form, asymptotic (E.g. [Huczynska et al., 2013]), or assume the existence of at least one k-normal element (E.g. this paper).

Existence of k-Normal Elements

Theorem 3 ([Reis, 2019])

Let q be a power of a prime p and let $m \ge 2$ be a positive integer such that every prime divisor of m divides $p \cdot (q-1)$. Then k-normal elements exist for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

- ▶ Concrete, significant extension of the case $m = p^r$, but prime factorization of m is still restricted to a particular form.
- ▶ When $p \nmid m$, our theorem is a generalization of this result.

A Number Theoretic Prerequisite

Proposition 1

Let a and m be arbitrary natural numbers and suppose that $m \nmid a^m - 1$. Then m has a prime factor that does not divide $a^m - 1$.

- ► The proof proceeds by induction on the largest exponent *b* of a prime *p* dividing *m*.
- ► The proof was inspired by the proof of a similar result in [Lüneburg, 2012, Theorem 6.3].



Main Theorem on Existence

Theorem 4 (Sufficient Conditions for Existence)

- ▶ If $m \mid (q^m 1)$, then k-normal elements exist in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} for every integer k in the interval $0 \leq k \leq m 1$.
- ▶ If $m \nmid q^m 1$, let $d = \gcd(q^m 1, m)$. Assume that $\sqrt{m} < d$. Let b denote the largest prime divisor of m that is a non-divisor of $q^m 1$. Then, for $k \geq m d b + 1$, k-normal elements exist in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} . In particular, if m is prime and $m \leq d + b 1$, then k-normal elements exist for every k in the interval $0 \leq k \leq m 1$.

Note that if $p \nmid m$ and the hypothesis of Theorem 3 holds, i.e. every prime factor of m divides $p \cdot (q-1)$ then Proposition 1 says that we are in the case $m \mid q^m - 1$.



Example

For q = 5, m = 6, we have

$$q^m - 1 = 15624 = 0 \mod 6$$

So, Theorem 4 shows that k-normal elements exist in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} for every $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}.$

Here, Theorem 3 is not applicable because the prime 3 divides mbut not $p \cdot (q-1) = 20$.

$$q^m - 1 = 262143$$
,

and so

$$d = \gcd(q^m - 1, m) = 3 > \sqrt{6}.$$

The largest prime b that divides 6 and not 262143 is clearly 2.

So, Theorem 4 shows that k-normal elements exist in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} for every k > m - d - b + 1, i.e. for every k > 2.

Since we know that 0- and 1-normal elements always exist in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , we conclude that in this case k-normal elements exist for every $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$.

Here as well, Theorem 3 is not applicable because the prime 3 divides m but not $p \cdot (q-1) = 14$.



Normal Elements with Large Multiplicative Order

- ▶ So far, we have looked at the "additive" structure of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} as an \mathbb{F}_q -vector space and as an $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ -module.
- It is also of interest to study the relation between these additive structures and the multiplicative structure of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$.

Theorem 5 (Primitive Normal Basis Theorem, [Lenstra and Schoof, 1987])

For every prime power q > 1 and every positive integer m there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$, with $Ord(a) = x^m - 1$ and $ord(a) = q^m - 1$.

▶ One may wish to extend this and ask what pairs of multiplicative and additive orders occur together in elements of \mathbb{F}_{a^m} .



Normal Elements with Large Multiplicative Order

Theorem 6

Suppose that (m, q-1)=1. Then \mathbb{F}_{q^m} has a normal element with multiplicative order $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$.

Idea of Proof.

We showed that the techniques in the proof of the Primitive Normal Basis Theorem in [Lenstra and Schoof, 1987] can be adapted and extended to this case.



Further Research Problems

Given a k-normal element α , does there exist another k-normal element outside span_{\mathbb{F}_q} { $\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-1}}$ }?

Given a k-normal element α , which of the subsets of $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-1}}\}$ with size m-k or smaller, apart from $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \alpha^{q^2}, \dots, \alpha^{q^{m-k-1}}\}$ are linearly independent?

Under what circumstances is the group action of $\mathbb{K}[G]^{\times}$ on S_k free? Under what circumstances is it transitive?

Determine the existence of high-order k-normal elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{a^m}$ over \mathbb{F}_a , where high order means $ord(\alpha) = N$, with N a large positive divisor of $q^m - 1$. [Huczynska et al., 2013, Problem 6.4]

Thank you!


References I



Existence and properties of k-normal elements over finite fields.

Finite Fields Appl., 24:170–183.





References II



Lidl, R. and Niederreiter, H. (1997).

Finite fields, volume 20 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn.



Lüneburg, H. (2012).

Translation Planes.

Springer Berlin Heidelberg.



Reis, L. (2019).

Existence results on k-normal elements over finite fields.

Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 35(3):805-822.



References III



Reis, L. and Thomson, D. (2018). Existence of primitive 1-normal elements in finite fields. Finite Fields Appl., 51:238–269.



Saygı, Z., Tilenbaev, E., and Ürtiş, c. (2019). On the number of k-normal elements over finite fields. Turkish J. Math., 43(2):795-812.