

Exercise 1

(a) Observe that $1_A \in \mathcal{L}^1$ so $X_n := \mathbb{E}[1_A | \mathcal{F}_n]$ is well defined and $X_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$. Also $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ from the tower law (iv)

On the other hand, $A \in G_\infty \subseteq G_{n+1} \perp \mathcal{F}_n$, so $A \perp \mathcal{F}_n$ and
$$X_n = \mathbb{E}[1_A | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[1_A] = P(A) \text{ a.s.}$$

It follows that $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X_\infty := P(A)$ and because the martingale is closed, we have that $X_\infty = \mathbb{E}[1_A | \mathcal{F}_\infty] = 1_A$ from Theorem 10.2.

But $1_A = P(A)$ a.s. $\Rightarrow P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$, as desired.

(b) Let $A_x = \{Z < x\}$. Because Z is G_∞ -measurable, we have that $A_x \in G_\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{P(A_x) = 1\}$. We claim that $Z = x_0$ a.s.

First, observe that $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is finite, as

$A_n \nearrow \bigcup_k \{Z < k\} = \Omega$ so $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(A_n) = 1$, hence from

the 0-1 law, $P(A_n) = 1$ for some $n \geq 0$

$A_n \searrow \bigcap_k \{Z < k\} = \emptyset$ so $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(A_{-n}) = 0$, hence from

the 0-1 law, $P(A_{-n}) = 0$ for some $n \leq 0$.

Now, if $x > x_0$, there is some $t \in [x_0, x)$ s.t. $P(A_t) = 1$ by the properties of infimum and the definition of x_0 .

So $P(A_x) \geq P(A_t) \Rightarrow P(A_x) = 1$.

if $x < x_0$, by definition $P(A_x) \neq 1$, by the 0-1 law we have $P(A_x) = 0$. It follows that $P(Z \neq x_0) = 0$ \square

Exercise 2 (a) Because $Y_{n+1} \perp\!\!\!\perp \overbrace{\mathcal{F}_n}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n \cdot Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = X_n$$

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] \stackrel{X_n \geq 0}{=} \mathbb{E}[X_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 1$$

\uparrow
 \mathcal{F}_n -mes
 $\{Y_i\}$ indep

Also, $t \mapsto -\sqrt{t}$ is convex function, $\Rightarrow \{-\sqrt{X_n}\}$ is a submartingale and $\{\sqrt{X_n}\}$ is a supermartingale.

(b) Because $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a positive supermartingale, it converges a.s. to some r.v. Z . By Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_n Z_n\right] \leq \liminf_n \mathbb{E}[Z_n] \\ &= \liminf_n \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\uparrow
 $\{Y_i\}$ are indep

It follows that $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$, because $Z \geq 0$ we conclude that $Z = 0$ a.s.

Because $\sqrt{X_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. ($\sqrt{\cdot}$ is continuous)

Now suppose that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is r.i.. Then the convergence above is in L^1 and $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow 0$

However, $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 1$ so $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow 1$, a contradiction \square

$\{Y_k\}$ indep

(c) $\|Z_n - Z_m\|_2 = \mathbb{E}[Z_n^2 + Z_m^2 - 2Z_n Z_m] = \prod_{k=n+1}^m \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_k}]$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[X_n + X_m - 2X_n \sqrt{\frac{X_m}{X_n}}\right] = 2 - 2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}_{=1} \prod_{k=n+1}^m \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_k}]$$

$$= 2 \left(1 - \prod_{k=n+1}^m \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_k}]\right)$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose wlog $\varepsilon < 2$

Because $t \mapsto -\sqrt{t}$ is a convex function, by Jensen's ineq.

$$-1 = -\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[Y_i]} \leq \mathbb{E}[-\sqrt{Y_i}] \quad (*)$$

So $\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] \leq 1$. Let $M = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}]$

Because of (*), M is the limit of a decreasing sequence.
 So there is some N s.t. $\prod_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] < M / 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ Note $\frac{M}{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}} > M$
 It follows that $\prod_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] = \frac{M}{\prod_{i=0}^N \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}]} > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Then, for $m, n > N$, $m \geq n$ wlog, we have

$$\|Z_m - Z_n\|_2 = 2 \left[1 - \prod_{i=n+1}^m \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] \right] \leq 2 \left[1 - \prod_{k=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{Y_i}] \leq 1$$

$$< 2 \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \right] = \epsilon \quad \text{So } \{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ is Cauchy in } l^2.$$

Now observe that $\|X_n - X_m\|_1 = \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X_m|] = \mathbb{E}[|Z_n^2 - Z_m^2|]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[|(Z_n - Z_m) \cdot (Z_n + Z_m)|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|Z_n - Z_m|] \cdot \mathbb{E}[|Z_n + Z_m|]^{1/2}$$

But $\mathbb{E}[|Z_n + Z_m|^2]^{1/2} \leq \|Z_n\|_2 + \|Z_m\|_2$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\leq 1 + 1 = 2 \quad \text{So } \|X_m - X_n\|_1 \leq \|Z_m - Z_n\|_2 \cdot 2.$$

It follows that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Cauchy in l^1 so it converges in l^1 to some r.v. X . It follows from Prop A2 that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is u.i., so from theorem 10.2, this is a closed martingale σ

Exercise 3 (a) First, let $A_n = \{X_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} X_n\}$, $B_n = \{X_{n+1} = \frac{1+X_n}{2}\}$.

Observe that $\mathbb{P}(A_n \cup B_n) = \mathbb{E}[1_{A_n} + 1_{B_n}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[1_{A_n} | \mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbb{E}[1_{B_n} | \mathcal{F}_n]]$
 $= \mathbb{E}[1 - X_n + X_n] = 1.$

It follows that $X_{n+1} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2} X_n, \frac{1+X_n}{2} \right\}$ a.s., or

$$X_{n+1} = 11[A_n] \frac{1}{2} X_n + 11[B_n] \frac{1+X_n}{2} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Claim 1: $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \quad \text{a.s.}$

Proof: $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underset{X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n\text{-meas}}{11[A_n]} \frac{1}{2} X_n + \mathbb{E}\left[11[B_n] \frac{1+X_n}{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] \right]$

$$= \frac{1}{2} X_n (1 - X_n) + \frac{1}{2} X_n (1 + X_n) = X_n \quad \square$$

Claim 2: $0 \leq X_n \leq 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$

Proof: Induction on n . This is the case for $n=0$, as $a \in [0, 1]$.

Now, for $X_n \in [0, 1]$, then $\frac{1}{2} X_n, \frac{1+X_n}{2} \in [0, 1]$. Since $X_{n+1} \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2} X_n, \frac{1+X_n}{2} \right\} \quad \text{a.s.}$, $X_{n+1} \in [0, 1] \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \square$

It follows that $X_n \in L^1$, so it is a bounded martingale.

Because it is bounded, it converges a.s. and in L^p for $p < +\infty$ from theorem 10.5, to some X_∞ .

It follows that $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_\infty]$

But $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = a \quad \forall n \geq 0$, so $\mathbb{E}[X_\infty] = a$.

⑤ $\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+1} - X_n)^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{n+1} - X_n)^2 \cdot (11[A_n] + 11[B_n]) \right] =$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{n+1} - X_n)^2 11[A_n] \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{n+1} - X_n)^2 11[B_n] \right] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} X_n - X_n\right)^2 11[A_n] \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1+X_n}{2} - X_n\right)^2 11[B_n] \right] =$$

$$\stackrel{(CP)}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} X_n^2 \mathbb{E}\left[11[A_n] \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} (1 - X_n)^2 \mathbb{E}\left[11[B_n] \mid \mathcal{F}_n \right] \right] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} X_n^2 (1 - X_n) \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} (1 - X_n)^2 X_n \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{4} X_n (1 - X_n) \underbrace{(X_n + 1 - X_n)}_{=1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}[X_n (1 - X_n)] \quad \square$$

(c) $E[X_n^2] \rightarrow E[X_\infty^2]$ because $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ in L^2 .

$$\text{So } E[X_n(1-X_n)] \rightarrow E[X_\infty(1-X_\infty)]$$

On the other hand, $E[X_n(1-X_n)] = 4 \cdot E[(X_{n+1} - X_n)^2] \rightarrow 0$
because $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges in L^2 .

$$\text{It follows that } E[X_\infty(1-X_\infty)] = 0. \quad (**)$$

However, $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X_\infty$, and $X_n \in [0, 1]$ a.s.
from part (a). It follows that $X_\infty \in [0, 1]$ a.s., so
that $X_\infty(1-X_\infty) \geq 0$ a.s.

Together with (**), this gives us that $X_\infty(1-X_\infty) = 0$ a.s.

So $X_\infty = 0$ or $X_\infty = 1$ a.s.

$$\text{Because } E[X_\infty] = \alpha, \quad \mathbb{P}(X_\infty = 1) = \alpha$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = 0) = 1 - \alpha$$

□