

Exercise 1

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_Q &= -\lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{2} = (\lambda - 1) \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ so

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

① Eigenvalues: $1, -1/2$, left eigenvectors

$$(m_1, m_2) Q = (m_1, m_2) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} m_2 = m_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} m_2 + m_1 = m_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow (m_1, m_2) = \lambda \cdot (1, 2)$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(m_1, m_2) Q = -\frac{1}{2} (m_1, m_2) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} m_2 = -\frac{1}{2} m_1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow (m_1, m_2) = \lambda \cdot (1, -1)$$

Thus, $(m_1, m_2) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right)$ is a stat. prob. measure.

②

$$Q^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1/2)^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{-3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1/2)^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot (-1/2)^n & -2 \cdot (-1/2)^n \\ -(-1/2)^n & (-1/2)^n \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + 2 \cdot (-1/2)^n}{3} & \frac{2 - 2 \cdot (-1/2)^n}{3} \\ \frac{1 - (-1/2)^n}{3} & \frac{2 + (-1/2)^n}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \mathbb{P}(X_n = 2 \mid X_0 = 1) = Q_{1,2}^{(n)} = \frac{2 - 2 \cdot (-1/2)^n}{3}$$

$$\text{with } n \rightarrow +\infty, \lim_n Q_{1,2}^{(n)} = \frac{2}{3} = m_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} \quad \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=1, X_n=2) &= \mathbb{P}(X_n=2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=1 | X_n=2) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_n=2) \cdot Q_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(X_n=2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lim_n \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=1, X_n=2) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{3}$

Similarly, $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=2, X_n=1) = \mathbb{P}(X_n=1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=2 | X_n=1)$
 $= \mathbb{P}(X_n=1) \cdot Q_{1,2} = 0$

Thus, $\lim_n \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1}=2, X_n=1) = 0$.

Exercise 2

① Because the chain is irreducible, it has a well defined period and is the period of any of its elements.

The following claim will show that any $n \in A_x$ is even, thus $2 | \gcd(A_x)$.

Claim: Take $x \in S^i, y \in S^j$, and assume $Q_{x,y}^n > 0$.

If n is odd, then $i \neq j$

If n is even, then $i = j$

Proof: We use induction on n . For $n=0$, $Q_{x,y}^n > 0$ implies $x=y$, so because $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $i=j$ holds.

For the induction step, note that $Q_{x,y}^{n+1} = \sum_{z \in S} Q_{x,z}^n \cdot Q_{z,y} > 0 \Rightarrow \exists z \in S$
s.t. $Q_{x,z}^n > 0, Q_{z,y} > 0$.

Say that $z \in S_k$. Then $k \neq j$ from $Q_{z,y}$. By induction hip. we have that $i \neq k$ if n is odd ($i=k$ if n is even), thus $i=j$ if $n+1$ is even ($i \neq j$ if $n+1$ is odd) \square

② We find directly an eigenvector $\vec{v} = \vec{1}_{S_1} - \vec{1}_{S_2}$, where $\vec{1}_{S_i}$ is the vector indexed by S that has $(\vec{1}_{S_i})_z = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z \in S_i \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

Now if $y \in S_1$, $(Q\vec{v})_y = \sum_{x \in S_1} Q_{x,y} - \sum_{x \in S_2} Q_{x,y} = 0 - \sum_{x \in S_2} Q_{x,y} = -1$

if $y \in S_2$, $(Q\vec{v})_y = \sum_{x \in S_1} Q_{x,y} - \sum_{x \in S_2} Q_{x,y} = \sum_{x \in S_1} Q_{x,y} - 0 = 1$

Thus $Q\vec{v} = \vec{1}_{S_2} - \vec{1}_{S_1} = -\vec{v} \cdot \square$

Exercise 3

① We compare the r.v. \tilde{z}_1 in the MC $((X_{n+T_n})_{n \geq 0}, S_k)$

and Z_1 in $\left((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, \mathcal{F}_x \right)$.

Because T_k is a stopping time, we have that $\tilde{Z}_1 \sim Z_1$ and $\tilde{Z}_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp (X_n)_{n=0}^{T_k}$. In particular, $\tilde{Z}_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp (Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1})$.

On the other hand, $\tilde{Z}_1 = Z_k$ by definition, so $\{Z_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ are indep. r.v.

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Recall } \nu^{(x)}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_i = y, T_x \leq i) \\ = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{i=1}^{T_x} 11[X_i = y] \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{i=0}^{T_x-1} 11[X_{i+1} = y] \right]$$

In fact, $\nu^{(x)}(S) = \mathbb{E}_x [T_x] = \frac{1}{\mu(x)}$. Assume $f \geq 0$

$$Z_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} f(X_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} \sum_{y \in S} 11[X_i = y] f(y) = \sum_{y \in S} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} 11[X_i = y] \right) f(y)$$

$$\text{Thus } \mathbb{E}_x [Z_0] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} f(X_i) \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{y \in S} \sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} f(y) 11[X_i = y] \right] \\ = \sum_{y \in S} f(y) \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{i=0}^{T_1-1} 11[X_i = y] \right] = \sum_{y \in S} f(y) \nu^{(x)}(y)$$

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{y \in S} f(y) \cdot \mu(y) = \sum_{y \in S} f(y) \frac{\nu^{(x)}(y)}{\nu^{(x)}(S)} = \mu(x) \sum_{y \in S} f(y) \nu^{(x)}(y) \\ = \mu(x) \cdot \mathbb{E}_x [Z_0]$$

On $*$ we use the MCT, together with $f \geq 0$, whenever $|S| = +\infty$.

As a conclusion, we have from (1) that $(Z_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ are i.i.d.

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{T_k-1} f(X_i) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z_i \underset{k \rightarrow +\infty}{=} \mathbb{E}_x [Z_i] \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(X_i) = \left[\frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=0}^{N_n-1} f(X_i) \right] + \frac{1}{N_n} \left(\sum_{i=N_n}^n f(X_i) \right)$$

Claim 1 $N_n \rightarrow +\infty$ a.s.

Proof: We have seen that $T_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} T_1$, so fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(N_n \leq k \quad \forall n) &= \mathbb{P}(T_1 = +\infty \text{ or } T_2 = +\infty \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } T_n = +\infty) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(T_i = +\infty) = \mathbb{P}(T_1 = +\infty)^k = 0 \quad \text{because } x \text{ is recurrent.} \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2: $\frac{N_n}{N_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1$ a.s.

Proof:
$$\frac{N_n}{N_{n+1}} = \frac{T_1 + \dots + T_k}{T_1 + \dots + T_{k+1}} = \frac{k+1}{T_1 + \dots + T_{k+1}} \times \frac{T_1 + \dots + T_k}{k} \times \frac{k}{k+1}$$

where $k = N_n$.

Thus, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$, because the $T_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} T_1$ we have that

$$\frac{N_n}{N_{n+1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[T_1]} \times \mathbb{E}[T_1] \times 1 = 1$$

from claim 1

because $\mathbb{E}[T_1] < +\infty$ by assumption

Let $a_n := \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(X_i)$ and $A_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{T_k-1} f(X_i)$

Then observe that, because $f \geq 0$, $a_n \geq A_k$ and $a_n \leq \frac{N_n}{N_{n+1}} A_{k+1}$

where $k = N_n$.

Thus, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have that $\limsup_n a_n \leq 1 \cdot \lim A_{k+1} = \frac{\int f d\mu}{M(x)}$ a.s. ⁽²⁾
and $\liminf_n a_n \geq \lim A_k = \frac{\int f d\mu}{M(x)}$.

It follows that $\lim_n a_n = \frac{\int f d\mu}{M(x)}$

(4) Take $f \equiv 1$, then $\int f d\mu = 1 \cdot M(S) = 1$ so

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=0}^n 1 = \lim_n \frac{n+1}{N_n} = \frac{1}{M(x)}$$

It follows that $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(X_i) = \lim_n \frac{N_n}{n} \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(X_i)$

$$= \mu(X) \cdot \frac{\int f d\mu}{\mu(X)} = \int f d\mu.$$

(5) We showed that it holds for any $f \geq 0$. Let $f \in L^1(S, \mu)$
Then $f = f^+ - f^-$ with $f^+, f^- \geq 0$. So

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(X_i) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f^+(X_i) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f^-(X_i) \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu = \int f d\mu \quad \text{as } \square$$