

Polynomial Algebras:

SEM: Advanced topics
in linear algebra

UZH, FS18

Conna Copol

In the whole summary, Γ is a field of characteristic zero.

1. Basic properties:

Def 1.1: A polynomial over Γ is an infinite sequence

$$f = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots) \quad \text{with } a_i \in \Gamma$$

s.t. only finitely many a_i are different from zero.

Let $f = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $g = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots)$ be two polynomials. Then we define the sum and product of two polynomials by:

$$f + g := (a_0 + \beta_0, a_1 + \beta_1, \dots, a_n + \beta_n, \dots)$$

$$fg := (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \dots) \quad \text{with } \gamma_k = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i \beta_j$$

Rem: With these two operations the set of all polynomials over Γ is a commutative associative algebra

Def 1.2: The algebra from the remark is called the polynomial algebra over Γ and is denoted by $\Gamma[t]$ with its unit element $1 := (1, 0, \dots)$

Rem: $i: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma[t]$ is an injective homomorphism of algebras.
 $a \mapsto (a, 0, \dots)$

Def 1.3: $t := (0, 1, 0, \dots)$

Rem: From def 1.3 $\Rightarrow t^k = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k \text{ times}}, 1, 0, \dots)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$

Thus every polynomial f can be written in the form

$$\textcircled{*} \quad f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \quad \text{with } a_i \in \Gamma, \text{ where only finitely many } a_i \text{ are different from zero.}$$

t^k linearly independent $\Rightarrow \textcircled{*}$ is unique.

\Rightarrow these elements form a basis of the vector space $\Gamma[t]$

Def 1.4: Let $f = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_n t^n \neq 0$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Then

- a_n is called the leading coefficient of f

- If $a_n = 1$, f is called monic

- $a_0 \in \Gamma$ is called the scalar term.

- n is called the degree of f .

Facts: Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i t^i$ and $g = \sum_{i=0}^m \beta_i t^i$.

- 1) If γ is the leading coefficient of fg , then
 $\gamma = \alpha_n \beta_m$, ($f, g \neq 0$)
- 2) $f, g \neq 0 \implies fg \neq 0$
- 3) The map $\rho: \Gamma[t] \rightarrow \Gamma$
 $f \mapsto \alpha_0$ is a surjective homomorphism.
- 4) If $f, g \neq 0 \implies \deg(fg) \leq \max(\deg(f), \deg(g))$
and $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.

Def 1.5: A polynomial of the form $\alpha_n t^n$ with $\alpha_n \neq 0$ is called a monomial of degree n.

Rem: Let A be any associative algebra with unit element e and fix $a \in A$.

Then the map $1 \mapsto e, t \mapsto a$ can be extended in a unique way to an algebra homomorphism
 $\phi: \Gamma[t] \rightarrow A$.

Notice, that $1, t$ generate $\Gamma[t] \implies \phi$ unique.

Def 1.6: We denote by $\Gamma(a)$ the image of $\Gamma[t]$ under ϕ .

Notice, that $\Gamma(a)$ is a subalgebra of A generated by e and a .

The elements of $\Gamma(a)$ are called polynomials in a and are denoted by $f(a)$.

Rem: $\phi: f \rightarrow f(a)$ induces a monomorphism

$$\bar{\phi}: \Gamma[t] / \ker(\phi) \rightarrow A.$$

Notice, if A is generated by e and $a \implies \phi$ is surjective
 $\implies \bar{\phi}$ surjective $\implies \bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

EX: Let $A \in \Gamma[t]$ and $g = \sum_{\nu} \beta_{\nu} t^{\nu}$ a polynomial.

$$\text{Then } f(g) = \sum_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu} \left(\sum_{\mu} \beta_{\mu} t^{\mu} \right)^{\nu} = \sum_{w} \gamma_w t^w$$

$$\text{In particular, } \gamma_0 = \sum_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu} \beta_0^{\nu} = f(\beta_0)$$

$\implies \rho(f(g)) = f(\rho(g))$, $f, g \in \Gamma[t]$, with ρ from Fact 3).

i.e. the scalar term of $f(g)$ is the same as f of the scalar term of g .

2. Ideals and divisibility:

Lemma 2.1: (Euclid algorithm)

Let $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$ be two polynomials.

Then there exist polynomials q and r , such that $f = gq + r$ and $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ or $r = 0$.

EX: Let $f = 6x^2 + 4x + 2$ and $g = 2x + 2$. Then we can find q, r st. $f = gq + r$
 $\Rightarrow q = x + 1, r = 4x^2$

Prop 2.1: Every ideal in $\Gamma[t]$ is principal.

Proof: Let I be the given ideal and assume $I \neq 0$. Let h be a monic polynomial of minimum degree in I .

We need to show, that $I = I_h$.

- $I_h \subset I$ is clear.

- let $f \in I$ arbitrary. Then by the euclid algorithm $\exists q, r$ st. $f = hq + r$ with $\deg(r) < \deg(h)$ or $r = 0$.

Since $f, h \in I \Rightarrow r = f - hq \in I$

If $r \neq 0 \Rightarrow \deg(r) \geq \deg(h) \nabla$

$\Rightarrow r = 0 \Rightarrow f = hq \Rightarrow f \in I_h$

Since f arbitrary $\Rightarrow I_h \supset I$ ▣

Def 2.1: let f and g be non-zero polynomials.

We say that g divides f or f is a multiple of g , if there exists a polynomial h , such that $f = g \cdot h$.
if g divides f we write $g \mid f$.

Rem: f is a multiple of $g \Leftrightarrow f \in I_g$

Facts: - $h \mid g$ and $g \mid f \Rightarrow h \mid f$

- $f \mid g$ and $g \mid f \Rightarrow f = g$

Def 2.2: Let f and g be two monic polynomials and consider the ideal $I_f + I_g$. Then by prop. 2.1 there exists a unique monic polynomial $h := fvg$, such that $I_h = I_f + I_g$

We call fvg the greatest common divisor of f and g

Rem: If $h \mid f$ and $h \mid g \Rightarrow I_f \subset I_h, I_g \subset I_h \Rightarrow I_{fvg} \subset I_h \Rightarrow h \mid fvg$

Def 2.3: A polynomial f , whose only divisors are scalars and scalar multiples of f , is called irreducible or prime.

EX: $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = x^2 + 1$ is irreducible.

Def 2.4: We denote by $f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_r$ the greatest common divisor of a finite number of monic polynomials $f_i, i=1, \dots, r$.

It is characterized by the relation

$$I_{f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_r} = I_{f_1} + \dots + I_{f_r}.$$

We call f_i relatively prime, if $f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_r = 1$.

Def 2.5: Analogous to def. 2.2, let f and g be two monic polynomials and consider the ideal $I_f \cap I_g$. Then by prop. 2.1, there exists a unique monic polynomial $h = f \wedge g$, such that $I_h = I_f \cap I_g$. $f \wedge g$ is called the least common multiple of f and g .

We denote by $f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_r$ the least common multiple of a finite number of monic polynomials $f_i, i=1, \dots, r$ and is defined by the equation

$$I_{f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_r} = I_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap I_{f_r}.$$

Prop. 2.2: If $f = f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_r$ (greatest common divisor), then there are polynomials g_1, \dots, g_r such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_i$.

Proof: From def 2.4, an arbitrary $h \in I_f$ can be written as $h = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_i$. Since $f \in I_f \Rightarrow f = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_i$ \blacksquare

Corollary 2.1: The polynomials f_1, \dots, f_r are relatively prime iff there exist polynomials g_1, \dots, g_r such that $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_i = 1$.

Proof: " \Rightarrow ": follows from prop. 2.2.

" \Leftarrow ": $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i g_i = 1 \Rightarrow$ every common divisor of the f_i divides 1 \Rightarrow it is a scalar. \blacksquare

Prop. 2.3: Let f_1, f_2 two monic polynomials and $f = f_1 \vee f_2$. Write $f_i = f h_i$ for $i=1, 2$.

Then h_1, h_2 are relatively prime and $f_1 \wedge f_2 = f \cdot h_1 \cdot h_2$.

Corollary 2.2: If f_1, \dots, f_r monic polynomials are relatively prime, then $f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_r = f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_r$.

Proof: Follows from prop. 2.3 for $r=2$ and for $r > 2$ by induction. 4

Rem: Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all monic polynomials in $\Gamma[t]$ together with the zero polynomial. Let us define a partial order in \mathcal{P} by setting:

$$f \leq g \text{ if } g|f \text{ for } f, g \neq 0$$

$$g \leq 0 \quad \forall g \in \Gamma[t]$$

Then with prior chapter, \mathcal{P} becomes a lattice.

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all ideals in $\Gamma[t]$. Then \mathcal{I} is also a lattice with respect to the partial order given by inclusion.

Let $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. Then $f \leq g \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_f \leq \mathcal{I}_g$
 $f \mapsto \mathcal{I}_f$

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is a lattice homomorphism.

Prop. 2.1 \Rightarrow Since ϕ is bijective, it's a lattice isomorphism.

Thm 2.1: Every monic polynomial can be written as

$f = f_1^{k_1} \cdots f_r^{k_r}$, where f_i are distinct irreducible monic polynomials and $\deg(f_i) \geq 1$ for $i=1, \dots, r$.

Moreover, the decomposition is unique up to the ordering of the prime factors.

Corollary 2.3: The polynomials, which divide the polynomial

$f = f_1^{k_1} \cdots f_r^{k_r}$, are precisely the polynomials

$g = f_1^{j_1} \cdots f_r^{j_r}$ with $j_i \leq k_i$ for $i=1, \dots, r$.

Prop. 2.4: Let $f = f_1^{k_1} \cdots f_r^{k_r}$ be the decomposition of

the monic polynomial f and let $q_i = f_1^{k_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \cdots f_r^{k_r}$.

Then q_1, \dots, q_r are relatively prime ($q_1 \cdots q_r = 1$) and

f is the least common multiple of q_i and $\bigvee_{j=1}^r q_j$

($q_i \wedge (\bigvee_{j=1}^r q_j) = f$).