

Differentiability of reflected BSDEs with quadratic growth

joint work with S. Ankirchner and P. Imkeller

IRTG Stochastic Models of Complex Processes

Disentis, July 2008

Outline

BSDEs

- Definition

- Application in Finance

Reflected BSDEs

- Definition

- Utility maximization

Differentiability of Reflected BSDEs

- Setting

- Tools

- Results

What is a BSDE?

Parameters:

- ▶ ξ r.v. \mathcal{F}_T -measurable
- ▶ $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ predictable mapping

A BSDE with *terminal condition* ξ and *generator/driver* f is an equation of the type

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds. \quad (1)$$

A solution is a *pair* of adapted processes (Y, Z) such that (1) makes sense.

Utility maximization

- ▶ incomplete financial market, i.e. $d < m$ stocks

$$dS_t^i = S_t^i(b_t^i dt + \sigma_t^i dW_t), \quad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d,m}$.

- ▶ small investor: wealth process ($p_s := \pi_s \sigma_s$, $\theta_s := \sigma_s^{-1} b_s$)

$$V_t^p = v + \int_0^t \pi_s \frac{dS_s}{S_s} = v + \int_0^t p_s (dW_s + \theta_s ds)$$

- ▶ utility function

$$U(x) = -\exp^{-\alpha x} \quad (\alpha > 0 \text{ risk aversion})$$

- ▶ Optimization problem under constraint C

$$Val(v) = \sup_{p \in C} E [U(V_T^p)]$$

Utility maximization

- ▶ incomplete financial market, i.e. $d < m$ stocks

$$dS_t^i = S_t^i(b_t^i dt + \sigma_t^i dW_t), \quad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d,m}$.

- ▶ small investor: wealth process

$$V_t^p = v + \int_0^t \pi_s \frac{dS_s}{S_s} = v + \int_0^t p_s (dW_s + \theta_s ds)$$

- ▶ utility function

$$U(x) = -\exp^{-\alpha x} \quad (\alpha > 0 \text{ risk aversion})$$

- ▶ ξ European Option
- ▶ Optimization problem under constraint C

$$Val(v) = \sup_{p \in C} E [U(V_T^p + \xi)]$$

Utility maximization

Optimization problem: $Val(v) = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{C}} E [U(V_T^p + \xi)]$

Idea: Find a process Y with terminal condition $Y_T = \xi$ such that

- ▶ $U(V_t^p + Y_t)$ is a supermartingale for all p
- ▶ $U(V_t^{p^{opt}} + Y_t)$ is a martingale for one p^{opt}

→ BSDE with terminal condition ξ

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds.$$

Utility maximization

Optimization problem: $Val(v) = \sup_{p \in C} E [U(V_T^p + \xi)]$

Theorem (Hu, Imkeller, Müller 2005)

$$Val(v) = U(v + Y_0)$$

where (Y, Z) is the unique solution of

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds$$

$$\text{and } f(\cdot, z) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \text{dist}^2\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\theta - z, C\right) - z\theta + \frac{1}{2\alpha}|\theta|^2.$$

!f grows quadratically in z!

What is a RBSDE?

Parameters:

- ▶ $(\xi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ continuous on $[0, T[$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \xi_t \leq \xi_T$
- ▶ $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ predictable mapping

A RBSDE with *barrier* ξ and *generator/driver* f is an equation of the type

$$Y_t = \xi_T - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + K_T - K_t, \quad (2)$$

$$Y_t \geq \xi_t, \quad \int_0^T (Y_t - \xi_t) dK_t = 0,$$

where K is a continuous nondecreasing process.

A solution is a *triple* of adapted processes (Y, Z, K) such that (2) makes sense.

Utility maximization

Same setting as before:

- ▶ wealth process $V_t^p = v + \int_0^t p_s(dW_s + \theta_s ds)$
- ▶ utility function $U(x) = -e^{-\alpha x}$ ($\alpha > 0$ risk aversion)

Question: What happens if the investor holds an American option with payoff function $(\xi_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$?

Optimization problem:

$$Val(v) = \sup_{\nu, p} E [U(V_T^p + \xi_\nu)]$$

Utility maximization

Optimization problem: $Val(v) = \sup_{\nu, p} E[U(V_T^p + \xi_\nu)]$

Theorem (A.R.)

$$Val(v) = U(v + Y_0)$$

where (Y, Z, K) is the unique solution of

$$Y_t = \xi_T - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds + K_T - K_t,$$

$Y_t \geq \xi_t$, $\int_0^T (Y_t - \xi_t) dK_t$, with K continuous, nondecreasing and

$$f(\cdot, z) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \text{dist}^2\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\theta - z, C\right) - z\theta + \frac{1}{2\alpha}|\theta|^2.$$

!f grows quadratically in z!

Parameterized RBSDE

Parameter dependence on $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y_t^x = \xi_T(x) - \int_t^T Z_s^x dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s^x) ds + K_T^x - K_t^x.$$

$$Y_t^x \geq \xi_t(x), \quad \int_0^T (Y_t^x - \xi_t(x)) dK_t^x = 0,$$

Question: Are the solution processes Y^x , Z^x and K^x continuous or even differentiable with respect to x ?

Our setting: Quadratic RBSDEs

- ▶ Consider RBSDE

$$Y_t = \xi_T - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds + K_T - K_t,$$

$$Y_t \geq \xi_t, \quad \int_0^T (Y_t - \xi_t) dK_t = 0,$$

with

- ▶ ξ bounded adapted process, continuous on $[0, T[$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \xi_t \leq \xi_T$
- ▶ f s.t. $\forall (t, z): |f(t, z)| \leq M(1 + |z|^2)$, and continuous in z
- ▶ Kobylanski (02) proved solution processes are $\sup_t |Y_t| < \infty$ and $E[\int Z_s^2 ds] < \infty$

BMO Martingales

Definition (BMO)

Uniformly integrable martingales M with $M_0 = 0$ and

$$\| M \|_{BMO} = \sup_{\tau} \| E[\langle M \rangle_{\tau} - \langle M \rangle_{\tau} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{\infty} < \infty$$

$$\mathcal{E}(M) := \exp\{M - \frac{1}{2}\langle M \rangle\}$$

Theorem (Kazamaki 1994)

- ▶ M BMO $\implies dQ = \mathcal{E}(M)_{\tau} dP$ is a probability measure
- ▶ M BMO $\implies \exists p > 1$ such that $\mathcal{E}(M) \in L^p$

Theorem (A.R.)

(Y, Z, K) solution of the above RBSDE $\implies \int Z dW$ is BMO

Moment estimates

Using Itô formula, the BMO property of $\int ZdW$ and inequalities of Hölder, BDG, Doob, Young, for $p > 1$:

Theorem (A.R.)

$$\begin{aligned} & E^P \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^{2p} \right] + E^P \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^p \right] + E^P \left[K_T^{2p} \right] \\ & \leq CE^P \left[\xi_T^{2pq^2} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\xi_t|^{2pq^2} + \left(\int_0^T f(s, 0) ds \right)^{2pq^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{q^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

With similar methods we can estimate the variation in the solution induced by a variation in the data!

Results

Theorem (A.R.)

Let ξ be differentiable in x , lipschitz in norm, f be differentiable in z , $\nabla_z f$ of linear growth in z ,

Then for $p > 1$ and $|x - x'| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^x - Y_t^{x'}|^{2p} \right] &\leq C|x - x'|^p \\ E \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_t^x - Z_t^{x'}|^2 ds \right)^p \right] &\leq C|x - x'|^p \\ E \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |K_t^x - K_t^{x'}|^{2p} \right] &\leq C|x - x'|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Spaces:

- ▶ \mathcal{S}^p space of predictable processes X such that

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = E \left[\sup_t |X_t|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H}^p space of predictable processes X such that

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = E \left[\left(\int_0^T |X_t|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

Corollary (A.R.)

- ▶ (Y_t^x) and (K_t^x) are continuous in t and x .
- ▶ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{2p} : x \mapsto Z^x$ is Hölder continuous with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{2p} : x \mapsto Y^x$ is Hölder continuous with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Differentiability

BUT:

We can't prove Differentiability of Y^x in x in the classical sense

Reason:

$$E \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t^x - Y_t^{x'}|^{2p} \right] \leq C|x - x'|^p$$

We would like to prove:

Theorem

There exists a version of (Y_t^x, Z_t^x, K_t^x) such that a.s.

- ▶ Y^x continuously differentiable in a weak sense
- ▶ Z^x is differentiable in a weak sense

Thank you!