

von Neumann stability without computing eigenvalues

WASILIJ BARSUKOW

DFG postdoctoral fellow

University of Zurich

April 6, 2020

Stability via Fourier

Consider a linear finite difference method ($q^n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$)

$$q_i^{n+1} = L(\dots, q_{i-1}^n, q_i^n, q_{i+1}^n, \dots) \quad (1)$$

Inserting

$$q_i^n = \sum_k \hat{q}^n(k) \exp(\imath k i \Delta x) \quad (2)$$

results in

$$\sum_k \hat{q}^{n+1}(k) \exp(\imath k i \Delta x) = \sum_k \hat{q}^n(k) \exp(\imath k i \Delta x) L\left(\dots, \exp(-\imath k \Delta x), 1, \exp(\imath k \Delta x), \dots\right)$$

$$\hat{q}^{n+1}(k) = L\left(\dots, \exp(-\imath k \Delta x), 1, \exp(\imath k \Delta x), \dots\right) \hat{q}^n(k) =: \tilde{L}(k) \hat{q}^n(k) \quad (3)$$

Stability via Fourier

$$\hat{q}^{n+1}(k) = \tilde{L}(k)\hat{q}^n(k) \quad (4)$$

$\tilde{L}(k) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is a complex-valued matrix with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j(k)\}_{j=1,\dots,m}$.

Definition 1 (Von Neumann stability)

The numerical method (1) is *von Neumann stable* if $|\lambda_j(k)| \leq 1 \ \forall k, \forall j = 1, \dots, m$.

The eigenvalues are **zeros of the polynomial** $\det(\tilde{L} - \lambda \mathbb{1}) = 0$.

Notation: $f(z) \equiv 0$ means $f(z) = 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n \quad (5)$$

is called

- *Schur*, if all its zeros z_0 (s.t. $f(z_0) = 0$) are contained in the open unit disc D : $|z_0| < 1$.
- *von Neumann*, if all its zeros z_0 are contained in \overline{D} : $|z_0| \leq 1$, or f is non-vanishing constant.

The theorem

The theorem

Consider $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ a polynomial of degree n ($a_n \neq 0$) with $f(0) \neq 0$. (E.g. $f(z) = z + c$, $f'(z) = 1$)

Definition 3

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad f^*(z) &:= \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-j} z^j & f^*(z) &= 1 + \bar{c}z \\ 2. \quad f_1(z) &:= \frac{f^*(0)f(z) - f(0)f^*(z)}{z} & f_1(z) &= \frac{1 \cdot (z + c) - c(1 + \bar{c}z)}{z} = 1 - c\bar{c} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4 (Miller, 1971)

f ($n \geq 1$) is von Neumann iff either

- $|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$ and f_1 is von Neumann, or
- $f_1 \equiv 0$ and f' is von Neumann

Here: $1 = |f^*(0)| \stackrel{?}{>} |f(0)| = |c|$, i.e. if $|c| < 1$, or if $|c| = 1$.

The proof

The proof

Recall the theorem:

Theorem 5 (Miller, 1971)

f ($n \geq 1$) is von Neumann iff either

- $|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$ and f_1 is von Neumann, or
- $f_1 \equiv 0$ and f' is von Neumann

We will focus here on proving the first statement only.

Rouché's theorem

Theorem 6 (Rouché, 1862)

For any two holomorphic f and g inside some region K with closed contour ∂K , if $|g(z)| < |f(z)|$ on ∂K , then f and $f + g$ have the same number of zeros inside K .

Define $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $S = \{z : |z| = 1\}$.

Lemma 7

For $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, $|f^*(z)| = |f(z)| \forall z \in S$

Proof: If $z = e^{i\vartheta}$, then $f^*(e^{i\vartheta}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-j} e^{i\vartheta j} = e^{i n \vartheta} \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_j e^{-i \vartheta j} = e^{i n \vartheta} \overline{f(e^{i\vartheta})}$. Thus $|f^*(z)| = |f(z)| \forall z \in S$.

□

Definition 8 (Self-inversive)

f is *self-inversive* if f and $f^* = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-j} z^j$ have the same set of zeros.

Recall $f_1(z) := \frac{f^*(0)f(z) - f(0)f^*(z)}{z}$.

Theorem 9

- i) f is self-inversive iff $f_1(z) \equiv 0$, i.e. $f^*(0)f(z) \equiv f(0)f^*(z)$.
- ii) If f is self-inversive, then $|f^*(z)| \equiv |f(z)|$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) If f and f^* have the same set of zeros, then, for some constant c

$$f^*(z) \equiv cf(z) \tag{6}$$

Taking $z = e^{i\vartheta}$, we learn by Lemma 7 that $|c| = 1$ and $|f^*(z)| = |f(z)| \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. Use now $z = 0$, then $c = f^*(0)/f(0)$ which gives $f^*(z) = \frac{f^*(0)}{f(0)} f(z) \forall z$.

(\Leftarrow) Trivial. □

Let $f = \psi g$ with ψ its maximal self-inversive factor ψ and a completely non self-inversive factor g . (By splitting the set of zeros into two halves.)

Theorem 10

If $f = \psi g$ as above, then

i) $f_1(z) \equiv \psi^*(0)\psi(z)g_1(z)$

ii) $|f^*(z)| - |f(z)| \equiv |\psi(z)|(|g^*(z)| - |g(z)|)$

Proof:

i) ψ is self-inversive, and thus by Thm. 9i $\psi^*(0)\psi(z) \equiv \psi(0)\psi^*(z)$.

$$f_1(z) = \frac{\psi^*(0)\psi(z)g(z)g^*(0) - \psi(0)\psi^*(z)g(0)g^*(z)}{z} \quad (7)$$

$$= \psi^*(0)\psi(z) \frac{g(z)g^*(0) - g(0)g^*(z)}{z} \quad (8)$$

ii) ψ is self-inversive and by Thm. 9ii $|\psi^*(z)| \equiv |\psi(z)|$. Using $(fg)^* = f^*g^*$ one obtains

$$|f^*(z)| - |f(z)| = |\psi^*(z)g^*(z)| - |\psi(z)g(z)| = |\psi(z)|(|g^*(z)| - |g(z)|)$$

□

Definition 11

If a polynomial f of degree n has (with multiplicities) p_1 zeros in the open unit disc D , p_2 on its boundary S , p_3 outside ($p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = n$), we say that it is of *type* (p_1, p_2, p_3) .

The proof

Lemma 12

Suppose f is a polynomial of degree n such that $|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$. Then f is of type (p_1, p_2, p_3) iff f_1 is of type $(p_1 - 1, p_2, p_3)$.

Proof: f is not self-inversive (Thm. 9ii). Let $f = \psi g$ with ψ its maximal self-inversive factor ψ . Then, $\forall z \in S$ by Lemma 7

$$|g^*(0)g(z)| = |g^*(0)||g^*(z)| \quad (9)$$

By Thm. 10ii

$$|f^*(z)| - |f(z)| \equiv |\psi(z)|(|g^*(z)| - |g(z)|) \quad (10)$$

$|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$ implies $|g^*(0)| > |g(0)|$ and thus

$$|g^*(0)g(z)| > |g(0)g^*(z)| \quad \forall z \in S \quad (11)$$

Thus

$$g_1(z) = \frac{g^*(0)g(z) - g(0)g^*(z)}{z} \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in S \quad (12)$$

Proof: (Cont'd.) We have shown that $g_1(z) \neq 0 \forall z \in S$. Also g does not have any zeros on S (otherwise ψ would not be a maximally self-inversive factor).

Eqn. (11) allows to apply Rouché's theorem: $g^*(0)g(z)$ and $g^*(0)g(z) - g(0)g^*(z)$ have the same number of zeros in D . Hence g has one more zero in D than does

$$g_1(z) = \frac{g^*(0)g(z) - g(0)g^*(z)}{z} \quad (13)$$

because $g^*(0)g(z) - g(0)g^*(z)$ has the trivial zero $z = 0$. As g_1 is of degree one less than g , then g_1 and g must have the same number of zeros outside S .

Note that $f(z) \equiv \psi(z)g(z)$ and (Lemma 10i) $f_1(z) \equiv \psi^*(0)\psi(z)g_1(z)$, i.e. ψ is a factor of both f and f_1 . Thus f is of type (p_1, p_2, p_3) iff f_1 is of type $(p_1 - 1, p_2, p_3)$.

□

The proof

This allows to prove the theorem:

Theorem 13 (Miller, 1971)

f ($n \geq 1$) is von Neumann iff either

- $|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$ and f_1 is von Neumann, or
- $f_1 \equiv 0$ and f' is von Neumann

Proof: Suppose f is von Neumann (of type $(p, n - p, 0)$). Then the absolute value of the product of its zeros is ≤ 1 . Thus $\left| \frac{f(0)}{f^*(0)} \right| = \left| \frac{a_0}{a_n} \right| \leq 1$. Thus either

- $|f^*(0)| > |f(0)|$. Then by Lemma 12 f_1 is of type $(p - 1, n - p, 0)$ and hence von Neumann.
- or $|f^*(0)| = |f(0)|$. (We skip this part of the proof.)

□

The part omitted here can be found in Schur, 1918, Journ. f. Math. **148**, 122-145

Unser Satz gestattet auch, die notwendigen und hinreichenden Bedingungen dafür anzugeben, daß die *Wurzeln* $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ einer Gleichung *n-ten Grades*

$$F(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_n x^n = 0$$

voneinander verschieden und sämtlich vom absoluten Betrage 1 seien. Dies ist, wie ich zeigen will, dann und nur dann der Fall, wenn erstens

$$(43.) \quad c_0 \bar{c}_{n-\nu} = \bar{c}_\nu c_\nu \quad (\nu=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

ist, und zweitens die Wurzeln $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2, \dots, \varepsilon'_{n-1}$ der derivierten Gleichung $F'(x)=0$ sämtlich im Innern des Einheitskreises liegen[†]), d. h. $F'(x)$ den Bedingungen des Satzes XVII genügt.

Sind nämlich alle Zahlen $|\varepsilon_\nu|$ gleich 1 und setzt man

$$F^*(x) = x^n \bar{F}(x^{-1}) = \bar{c}_n + \bar{c}_{n-1} x + \cdots + \bar{c}_0 x^n,$$

so unterscheiden sich F und F^* voneinander nur um einen konstanten

Faktor. Durch Vergleichen der von x freien Glieder erhält man $c_0 F^* = \bar{c}_n F$, und das liefert die Bedingungen (43.). Liegen ferner alle Wurzeln von $F = 0$ in oder auf dem Rande \mathfrak{R} eines konvexen Bereiches \mathfrak{R} , so gilt das-selbe nach einem bekannten Satze von *Gauß**) auch für die Wurzeln von $F' = 0$. Die auf \mathfrak{R} liegenden Wurzeln von $F' = 0$ sind hierbei nichts anderes als die auf \mathfrak{R} gelegenen *mehrfachen* Wurzeln von $F = 0$. In unserem Fall kann für \mathfrak{R} der Einheitskreis gewählt werden, und da $F = 0$ keine mehr-fachen Wurzeln besitzen soll, so müssen die Zahlen ϵ_i' im *Innern* von \mathfrak{R} liegen.

Sind umgekehrt die Gleichungen (43.) erfüllt, so ist insbesondere $|c_0| = |c_n|$. Ist dann ζ einer der beiden Werte von $\sqrt{\frac{\bar{c}_n}{c_0}}$ und setzt man

$$P(x) = \zeta x F'(x), \quad Q(x) = x^n \bar{P}(x^{-1}) = \zeta^{-1} x^{n-1} \bar{F}'(x^{-1}),$$

so wird, wie eine einfache Rechnung zeigt,

$$P(x) + Q(x) = n\zeta F(x).$$

Liegen nun alle Wurzeln von $F' = 0$ oder, was dasselbe ist, von $P = 0$ im Innern des Einheitskreises, so folgt aus dem P. I, S. 230 bewiesenen Satze XII, daß die Gleichung $F = 0$ die verlangte Eigenschaft besitzt.

History

It seems that the entire theory has been given in [Schur, 1917]/[Schur, 1918].

An algorithmic review of these results is given already in [Cohn, 1922], and then in [Miller, 1971] who emphasizes its use for finite differences and stability analysis.

If you like this kind of mathematics, have a look into this book: [Marden, 1966].

Practical use

Implementation for parameter studies

```
boolean vonNeumann(double [][] f){  
    double f0abs2 = |f(0)|2;  
    double [][] fStar = starPolynomial(f);  
    double fStar0abs2 = |fStar(0)|2;  
    double [][] fOne = onePolynomial(f, fStar);  
    double [][] fPrime = primePolynomial(f);  
  
    return (  
        isConstant(f) ||  
        ((isZero(fOne)) && (vonNeumann(fPrime))) ||  
        ((fStar0abs2 > f0abs2) && (vonNeumann(fOne)))  
    );  
}
```

Implementation for parameter studies

```
double[][] starPolynomial(double[][] poly){  
    for (int i = 0; i < poly.length; i++){  
        res[i][Complex.RE] = poly[poly.length-1-i][Complex.RE];  
        res[i][Complex.IM] = -poly[poly.length-1-i][Complex.IM];  
    }  
    return res;  
}
```

Summary

- Whenever a von Neumann analysis is interesting, Miller's (Schur's) theorem is a shortcut
- Analytical statements about stability conditions:
 - possible without computing eigenvalues (important for DG/AF/... and/or for systems)
 - algorithm consists of easy steps
 - there is no fundamental difficulty in multi-d
- Analytical statements might be complicated because the stability region might be complicated
- Semi-analytical parameter studies
 - very quick with respect to experimental studies (and no influence of the initial data)
 - easier than stability analysis without Fourier (and no influence of the grid size)
 - no information on the location of the eigenvalue inside \bar{D} , but info on which mode explodes: test case for checks



Cohn, A. (1922).

Über die Anzahl der Wurzeln einer algebraischen Gleichung in einem Kreise.

Mathematische Zeitschrift, 14(1):110–148.



Marden, M. (1966).

Geometry of polynomials, providence, ri.

American Mathematical Society.



Miller, J. J. (1971).

On the location of zeros of certain classes of polynomials with applications to numerical analysis.

IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics, 8(3):397–406.



Schur, I. (1917).

Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind.

Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 147:205–232.



Schur, I. (1918).

Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. part ii.

J. Reine Angew. Math, 148:122–145.